

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,968	01/20/2004	Jonathan S. Lindsey	5051-508IP3DV	1489
20792 7590 06/18/2007 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627		EXAMINER		
			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		06/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/760,968	LINDSEY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alton N. Pryor	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 17 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 18 cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ma	arch 2007					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 23-39 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
		ciocacii requirement.					
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
44.	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	` •	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔀 Inform	☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/20/04 6) Other:							
	1. 0.0						

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Previous rejection / objection not addressed below have been withdrawn in light of amendment filed 3/23/07. Applicant's arguments, see paper, filed 3/23/07, with respect to claims have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous objection / rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23,26,30,31,33,36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zaleski et al (Influence of solvent dynamics of inverted region electron transfer of cofacial porphyrin-porphyrin and porphyrin-chlorin complexes, J. of Physical Chemistry, 1993, 97(50), 13206-15) or Zaleski et al (Dynamic solvent effects in inverted region electron transfer, Chemical Physics, 1993, 176 (2-3),483-91). The reference teaches the compound of formula I where S1 and S2 = ester; S2,S4,S6 and S14 = Me; S9,S10,S11 and S12 = H; and S3, S8 = C8 alkyl. The reference does not teach trans isomers or that S4,S8,S9,S9.S10,S12 and S14 are all alkyl. Zaleski's compound is provided as a racemate; therefore both the trans and cis isomers exist. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have made the trans form with the expectation that the trans form would have been effective in the same application as the racemate or cis form. One would have been motivated to make the

Art Unit: 1616

trans isomer, because trans / cis isomers have similar chemical and physical properties. In the absence of unexpected results one would have expected the trans form of the compound to yield a similar activity in the same application as the racemate or cis form. One would have been motivated to make a compound where \$4,\$8,\$9,\$9.\$10,\$12 and \$14 are all alkyls. Zaleski teaches that all said \$5\$ substituents are alkyl except that \$9\$ and \$14 are hydrogen. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to replace the hydrogen by methyl to arrive at instant compound. One would have been motivated to do this since hydrogen and methyl are chemically and physically similar.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 23-39 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6765092. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because USPN '092 discloses how to make the racemate of the instant compounds having identical definitions of S,K and M substituents as in the instant claims. USPN '092 does not claim the trans isomeric form as claimed in instant claims. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have made the trans form with the expectation that the trans form would have been effective in the same application as the racemate or cis form. One would have been motivated to make the trans isomer, because trans / cis isomers have similar chemical and physical properties. In the absence of unexpected results one would have expected the trans form of the compound to yield a similar activity in the same application as the racemate or cis form.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,968

Art Unit: 1616

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616